**Presentation 2 on Jira (25%)**  
  
**Deadline: Week 7**

Students should upload the presentation to Canvas and present in the class in Week 7.

**Only one member of each group needs to submit the final presentation.**

**In addition to the presentation, each group member will submit an individual document with feedback of each of the other 11 groups. This feedback document is mandatory for the Engagement with Audience segment of the rubric.**

In this presentation, students will expand on the day-to-day of their project planning and management. In particular, this presentation will focus on the methods used for issue and bug tracking, such as JIRA or Trello. Students should explain the workflow developed as well as other tools used and their integrations. Additionally, students should discuss resource allocation and workload balancing for their project.

**Each group should aim to present for 10 minutes, and absolutely no more than 15 minutes.**

Here's a sample outline of a possible presentation:

**Title Slide:**Include the name of your project, as well as the names of each group member, and the date of the presentation.

**Agenda:**Provide an overview of the presentation’s structure. An example structure may include

* Issue and bug tracking tools used
* Workflow
* Integrations with other tools
* Resource allocation and workload balancing
* Summary
* Questions

**Issue and bug tracking tools used**

Discuss the issue and bug tracking tools which will be used in the daily management of your project. You could use JIRA, Trello, Bugzilla, or something else. Whichever you choose, explain the reasoning behind your choice, and elaborate briefly on the features which make it suitable for your project.

**Workflow**

Discuss the workflow for your project. What steps must issues go through in order to be resolved, and how do are they moved along the workflow. If your workflow includes unconventional steps, explain them.

**Integrations with other Tools:**

Discuss any tools you intend to use outside of the issue and bug tracking software discussed earlier. How will these tools be used in your project, and what considerations must be made to ensure that they integrate with the other tools used.

**Resource Allocation and Work Balancing**

Discuss how the project deliverables you discussed in your first presentation will be split up amongst team members.

Note:

Attach screenshots from JIRA -> Creating Team and assign Epic and Task , add comment (images) or files.

WBS

Screenshots To Connect Github from JIRA for accessing Repositories.

**Summary**

Summarize the steps you have taken to plan out the day-to-day operations of your project management. Note any challenges you anticipated, and the steps you are taking to address them.

**Questions:**

Take Questions from the audience.

**Additional Resources:**

Be sure to include citations and references used in the presentation.

**Rubrics of Presentations:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **0-2 points** | **0-1 points** | **0-1 points** | **0-1 points** |
| **Content Relevance (5 points):** | The presentation content is not relevant to the topic. | Some parts of the presentation content are relevant, but others are not. | Most of the presentation content is relevant to the topic. | The presentation content is highly relevant and directly related to the topic. |
| **Depth of Analysis (5points):** | The analysis is shallow and lacks depth. | The analysis provides some insights but lacks thorough exploration. | The analysis is reasonably deep and provides meaningful insights. | The analysis is exceptionally deep, providing profound insights and critical thinking. |
| **Clarity of Message (5 points):** | The message is unclear and confusing. | The message is somewhat clear but could be improved. | The message is clear and understandable. | The message is exceptionally clear and effectively communicated. |
| **Organization (5 points):** | The presentation lacks a clear structure and organization. | The presentation is somewhat organized but could be improved. | The presentation is well-organized and follows a logical structure. | The presentation is highly organized, with a clear and effective structure. |
| **Engagement with the Audience (5 points)** | The presenter does not engage with the audience. | The presenter attempts to engage with the audience but lacks effectiveness. | The presenter engages with the audience moderately effectively. | The presenter effectively engages with the audience throughout the presentation. |